IN THE DRAWINGS:

Applicants are submitting herewith corrected Figures 3 - 6. Applicants have attached both "Annotated Marked Up Drawings" and "Replacement Sheets" for the Examiner's convenience.

<u>REMARKS</u>

In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed all of the pending claims, which are claims 8 and 10-12, however the Examiner raised objections to the specification and the drawings.

With respect to the drawings, the Examiner noted that the reference numbers "30" and "44" are shown in the figures, but not mentioned in the description, and that the two rightmost vertical arrows in Fig. 3 should be labeled "100." The Examiner also observed that Figs. 4 and 5 both include a top center arrow that is not labeled or described in the specification, and that in Fig. 6, the "40" should be "42."

With respect to the specification, the Examiner noted that in paragraph 20, "gate region" should be "gate stack," and the Examiner commented that the language of paragraph 23 is inconsistent and confusing.

Applicants' Attorneys have carefully reviewed the entire application, including the specification and the drawings, and several editorial changes are being made to the specification and the drawings. It is believed that these changes fully address the objections raised by the Examiner.

More specifically, in the drawings, in Figure 3, the rightmost arrows are being labeled "100," and in Figures 4 and 5, the top center arrows are being deleted. Further, in Figure 5, the reference number "44" has been removed, and in Figure 6, the reference number "42" has been changed to "44." Also, the specification, in paragraph 24 is being amended to reference the extension regions 30 shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5.

Paragraphs 20, 23, 24 and 25 are being amended to improve their readability. For instance, in paragraph 20, "gate region" is being changed, as the Examiner requested, to "gate stack." Paragraph 23 is being amended to refer more consistently to "gate stack" "liner 24," and "spacer 26."

With particular regard to paragraph 23, Applicants note that the reference to the layer 22

being added and the reference to the spacer 24 being formed, is in the context of the explanation

of why these elements were formed – to keep the doping implantation away from the certain

regions of the semiconductor device. In order to improve the readability of the paragraph, the

language that the disposable layer "is added" is being changed to "was added," and the language

that the spacer 26 "is formed" is being changed to "was formed."

With the above-discussed changes to the drawings and to the specification, all of the

reference numbers in the drawings are referred to in the specification, and all of the reference

numbers mentioned in the specification are shown in the drawings. Further, the description of

the way in which the method of this invention is practiced is easier to read and to understand.

For the reasons set forth above, the Examiner is requested to reconsider and to withdraw

the objections to the drawings and to the specification.

Every effort has been made to place this application in condition for allowance, a notice

of which is requested. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference with Applicants'

Attorneys would be advantageous to the disposition of this case, the Examiner is asked to

telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

John S Sensny John S. Sensny

Registration No. 28,757

Attorney for Applicants

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C. 400 Garden City Plaza – Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530

(516) 742-4343

JSS:jy:bk





